Noninvasive Ventilation for Acute Respiratory Failure-Physiology and Evidence

Nicholas Hill MD TL Nicholas S Hill MD BL Tufts Medical Center Boston, MA











Benefits of NIV in Acute COPD compared to Conventional Rx*



NIV for COPD associated with:
Difficult weaning (to facilitate extubation)
Pneumonia
Extubation failure
Do-not-intubate status
Post-operative Respiratory Failure

NIV for Acute Pulmonary Edema: Physiologic Rationale

- CPAP:
 - –Increased FRC
 - -Re-expands flooded alveoli
 - Improved oxygenation
 - -Increased compliance
 - Afterload reduction Afterload reduction
- Pressure Support: – Further reduction in work

Acute Cardiogenic Pulmonary Edema

- Multiple RCTs have shown that either CPAP (10-12.5 cm H20) or BiPAP (12-15/4-5 cm H20) benefit patients compared to oxygen supplementaion alone.
- But which is better?

Meta-analysis: CPAP vs NIV for CPE







ers/ats clinical practice guideline – NIV FOR ACUTE RESP FAILURE								
TABLE 2 Recommendations for actionable PICO question	ns							
Clinical indication#	Certainty of evidence ¹¹	Recommendation						
Prevention of hypercapnia in COPD exacerbation Hypercapnia with COPD exacerbation Cardiogenic pulmonary oedema Acute asthma exacerbation Immunocompromised <i>De novo</i> respiratory failure Post-operative patients Patlative care Trauma Pandemic viral illness Post-extubation in high-risk patients (prophylaxis) Post-extubation respiratory failure Weaning in hypercapnic patients	00 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000	Conditional recommendation against Strong recommendation for Strong recommendation for No recommendation made Conditional recommendation for No recommendation made Conditional recommendation for Conditional recommendation for No recommendation made Conditional recommendation for Conditional recommendation for Conditional recommendation for						
": all in the setting of acute respiratory failure; ": certainty of e	effect estimates: ⊕⊕⊕⊕, high; ⊕⊕⊕	, moderate; ⊕⊕, low; ⊕, very low.						
	ROCHWERG E	TAL, ERJ 2017						

NIV Outcomes from LUNG SAFE

- Large observational multinational ARDS study
- 506 of 2813 (18%) ARDS pts treated with NIV
- NIV failure in 22% mild, 42% mod and 47% severe (Overall NIV Failure rate 38%)
- NIV success 16% died, NIV failure 45% died
- If PaO2/FIO2<150, mortal NIV 36% IMV 25%

Bellani G et al. AJRCCM 2016



High Flow Nasal O2 (HFNO) v NIV Role in Moderate ARDS? Role of interface in NIV?

- Pre-pandemic
- RCT of High Flow v Stnd O2 vs NIV in PNA/ARDS: ♥ intub rate ♥ O2, decr 90d mortal Frat et al NEJM 2014
- Helmet for ARDS/PNA 83 pt RCT at U of Chicago compared Helmet vs Stnd Full Face mask; intub rate 18% vs 62% and 90d mortal rate 34% v 56%.
- Patel B et al, JAMA 2016







Comparison of NRS studies							
Study222	Туре	n	Results	Intubation	Mortality		
Pre-COVID							
FLORALI	RCT	310	HFNC >> NIV = Stnd O2	Yes*	Yes		
Helmet	RCT	83	Helmet NIV >> Mask NIV	Yes	Yes		
Ferreryo JAMA	Metaanalysis	3804	Helmet or Mask NIV/ HFNC	Yes/Yes	Yes/No		
COVID							
Italy Non-ICU	Observation	670	HFNC = Helmet CPAP = Mask NIV	No	No		
Paris ICU	Retrospect	379	HFNC > non-HFNC	Yes	No		
Grieco	RCT	199	Helmet CPAP > HFNC	Yes	No		
Perkins	Adaptive RCT	1272	Mask CPAP > SO, HFNC = Stnd O2	Yes	No		
Ospina Tascon	RCT	199	HFNO > Stnd O2	Yes	No		
Crimi	RCT	362	HFNO = Stnd O2	No	No		
Arabi	RCT	320	Helmet - Usual	No	No		

Summary: NIV for Acute Resp Failure

- Strong physiologic and clinical evidence to support use of NIV for hypercapnic RF and cardiogenic pulm edema
- Other indications: Post-op, Postextubation, Trauma, palliative
- Hypoxemic RF still controversial
- Use increased during "decade of NIV"
- HFNC may have advantages for AHRF